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Abstract

Fertility decline is still a puzzle. A recent body of work has suggested that changes in kin networks may help explain changing reproductive behaviour. As countries modernise, kin networks break down and association with non-relatives becomes more common. This reduces both the practical support available to mothers in raising children, and affects reproductive norms. This paper presents the results of a systematic review of all studies which have investigated the impact of kin on female reproductive behaviour: including age at first birth, reproductive rate, total fertility and fertility preferences. A previous review demonstrated that kin, particularly maternal kin, clearly have a beneficial impact on one aspect of female fitness: the survival of her children. The literature on fertility outcomes supports the idea that kin are important, but suggests that different categories of kin may be significant in affecting female fertility.

Extended abstract

Why fertility declines, and why it has declined to such low levels in certain parts of the world, is a puzzle. The reasons for changing fertility patterns are still not fully understood, despite the importance to social policy-makers of understanding the factors which affect population ageing and population change. A recent body of work in this area has suggested that changes in kin networks may provide part of the explanation for changing reproductive behaviour. As countries modernise, kin networks break down and association with non-relatives becomes more common. This reduces both the practical support available to mothers in raising children (which in traditional societies comes largely from relatives), and affects reproductive norms, since kin have been shown to express more pro-natal attitudes than non-kin.

This paper presents the results of a systematic review of all studies which have investigated the impact of kin on female reproductive behaviour: this includes age at first birth, reproductive rate, total fertility and fertility preferences. A previous review demonstrated that kin clearly have an impact on one aspect of female fitness: the survival of her children. This review demonstrated that in virtually all studies which have investigated this issue, the presence of at least one category of kin, apart from the mother, improved child survival rates (Sear and Mace 2008). This review also found considerable variation between populations in which kinds of kin mattered for child survival, but the most consistently beneficial kin were maternal grandmothers and elder siblings of the child. This review aims to assess the published literature on the impact of kin on female fertility outcomes, to determine whether kin are also important in affecting this aspect of female fitness. This literature on fertility outcomes suggests that, in contrast to child survival, affinal kin may be more important in affecting female fertility.

Background

There is a large body of literature attempting to understand why fertility has declined worldwide over the last 150 years and, recently, why fertility should have fallen to such low levels in more developed countries (MDCs: Caldwell 1982; Cleland and Wilson 1987; Turke 1989; van de Walle 1992; Pollak and Watkins 1993; Bongaarts and Watkins 1996; Kirk 1996; Mason 1997; McDonald 2000; Bulatao and Casterline 2001; Kohler et al. 2002; Billari et al. 2007). Despite the volume of this research, the reasons for fertility decline and very low
fertility are still not entirely understood, though there are two influential schools of thought which argue that changing economic circumstances (which influence the costs and benefits of children) and changing social norms surrounding reproduction are perhaps the most important factors in promoting fertility decline (Cleland and Wilson 1987; Becker 1991; Robinson 1997). In recent years, a body of work has begun to emerge which suggests that changing kin networks and patterns of kin association might prove to be part of the explanation for declining fertility, since changing patterns of association between kin affects both the costs and benefits of children and reproductive norms (Turke 1989; Newson et al. 2005).

This kin influence hypothesis (Newson et al. 2005) requires empirical evidence that kin do exert a significant influence on reproductive behaviour. This empirical evidence has been steadily growing, and supports the hypothesis that changing associations with kin may have influenced both the initiation of the fertility decline, and the progression to extremely low fertility in MDCs today. The loosening of kin ties which is associated with modernization could affect economic decisions surrounding parenthood, since economic support and help with childcare are frequently provided by relatives, in both traditional and modern societies (e.g. Kohler and Hammel 2001). A number of recent studies have demonstrated that the presence of certain relatives improves child health and well-being in traditional societies (thereby suggesting relatives help out with childcare and provisioning children: e.g. Sear et al. 2000; Adams et al. 2002; Sorenson Jamison et al. 2002; Aubel et al. 2004; Hadley 2004; Beise 2005; Gibson and Mace 2005; Sear and Mace 2008; Sear et al. in press). Research in MDCs has also shown that kin, particularly grandparents, still have important roles to play in the lives of children, including performing childcare (Wilson 1986; Pope et al. 1993; Euler and Weitzel 1996; Spence et al. 2001; Pollet et al. 2006; Pollet et al. 2007). Kin have also been shown to influence attitudes around childbirth by transmitting information and norms which encourage certain kinds of reproductive behaviour (Axinn and Fricke 1996; Adongo et al. 1997; Madhavan et al. 2003; Newson et al. 2005; Wusu and Isiugo-Abanihe 2006). In particular, individuals appear to express more pro-natal attitudes to their relatives than to non-relatives (Newson et al. 2007). Loosening of kin ties could therefore also influence fertility decline by resulting in changes in social norms surrounding reproductive behaviour, and there are a handful of studies which have now demonstrated a direct impact of the presence of kin on female fertility rates (Nath et al. 2000; Sear et al. 2003; Tymicki 2004).

Methods

This research aims to test this kin influence hypothesis by performing a systematic review of all the literature which has investigated the impact of kin on reproductive behaviour. This includes the impact of the presence of particular categories of kin on both fertility outcomes (total number of children born, age at first birth and the timing of subsequent births), and also on attitudes towards fertility (desired or ideal family size and the costs / benefits of having children). EPPI-Reviewer, a web application developed by EPPI-Centre at the Institute of Education, London is used to manage this systematic review. Literature reviewing, on the whole, is not a systematic process, i.e. it does not contain a methodology which can be replicated by other researchers; it may cover only a sample of all relevant literature; and the ‘results’ must be taken at face value. The systematic review process has been developed in order to introduce rigour into literature reviews. Systematic reviews start with an explicit statement of the research question, and explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria for the literature to be reviewed. The process of the review is standardised, proceeding through a standard set of steps. A synthesis of results is then produced, including an assessment of the quality of the studies reviewed. A systematic review is therefore transparent, replicable, updatable and accountable (Mulrow 1994; Oakley et al. 2005; Petticrew and Roberts 2006).

Results & Conclusion
The literature on the impact of kin on fertility outcomes needs careful interpretation. While the improvement of child survival is an unambiguous sign of a beneficial effect on a woman’s fitness, influences on fertility outcomes may not have the overall effect of increasing her reproductive success. Increasing a woman’s reproductive rate, for example, is not necessarily in her interests. Given the high physiological costs of reproduction for women, they must carefully allocate their energetic investment over their entire reproductive lives in order to produce the most surviving offspring. This may involve timing their reproductive bouts carefully, in order to avoid maternal depletion. This may explain why affinal kin (i.e. the husband’s relatives) appear to be more likely to influence fertility outcomes than the woman’s own kin, at least in conditions of resource scarcity. Since affinal kin are not genetically related to the woman, her own health and well-being is of secondary importance to the reproductive success of her husband.
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